Magnetic fields in mixed neutron-star-plus-wormhole systems

Ascar Aringazin[1],* **Vladimir Dzhunushaliev**[2,3,4,5],†
Vladimir Folomeev[2,4],‡ **Burkhard Kleihaus** [2],§ and **Jutta Kunz**[2]¶
[1] *Institute for Basic Research, Eurasian National University, Astana 010008, Kazakhstan*
[2] *Institut für Physik, Universität Oldenburg, Postfach 2503, D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany*
[3] *Department of Theoretical and Nuclear Physics,*
Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty 050040, Kazakhstan
[4] *Institute of Physicotechnical Problems and Material Science of the NAS of the Kyrgyz Republic,*
265 a, Chui Street, Bishkek, 720071, Kyrgyz Republic
[5] *Institute of Experimental and Theoretical Physics,*
Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty 050040, Kazakhstan
(Dated: April 10, 2015)

We consider mixed configurations consisting of a wormhole filled by a strongly magnetized isotropic or anisotropic neutron fluid. The nontrivial topology of the spacetime is allowed by the presence of exotic matter. By comparing these configurations with ordinary magnetized neutron stars, we clarify the question of how the presence of the nontrivial topology influences the magnetic field distribution inside the fluid. In the case of an anisotropic fluid, we find new solutions describing configurations, where the maximum of the fluid density is shifted from the center. A linear stability analysis shows that these mixed configurations are unstable.

PACS numbers: 04.40.Dg, 04.40.–b, 97.10.Cv

arXiv:1412.3194v2 [gr-qc] 9 Apr 2015

I. INTRODUCTION

It is now widely believed that neutron stars possess strong magnetic fields. These arise during the creation process of neutron stars, when ordinary heavy stars with burnt-out cores undergo catastrophic contraction after losing their hydrostatic stability. In this case, since the magnetic field is "frozen" into the stellar matter, the magnetic flux through the surface of the star is conserved, and the resultant compact objects have magnetic fields with a strength of $\sim 10^8 - 10^{13}$ gauss. Even stronger magnetic fields on the order of $\sim 10^{15}$ gauss are believed to exist in so-called magnetars [1].

Much research has been devoted to stellar magnetic fields, including those existing in neutron stars (see, e.g., the book [2] and more recent works [3, 4] and references therein). Studies show that the structure of the interior magnetic fields and their stability depend crucially on the properties of matter at extreme densities, which are typical for the interior regions of a neutron star. Such extreme magnetic fields play an important role in the physics of neutron stars, affecting their interior structure and determining their evolution in time, such as the magnetic dipole radiation, the deformation due to the magnetic stress, etc.

Here we show that, apart from the properties of the neutron matter, the structure of the interior magnetic fields may also be substantially influenced by the topology of the spacetime. For this purpose, we consider mixed configurations with nontrivial topology which consist both of ordinary and exotic matter. The latter is some special form of matter, which implicates the violation of the null energy condition. In the language of hydrodynamics this corresponds to large negative effective pressures, $p < -\varepsilon$, where ε represents the energy density.

In our previous works we have studied such mixed systems for the case where the nontrivial (wormhole) topology is provided by exotic matter in the form of a ghost scalar field, and the ordinary matter is chosen in the form of relativistic neutron matter (see Refs. [5–8], where the motivation to consider this type of objects is also discussed). The resulting neutron-star-plus-wormhole configurations then possess properties of wormholes and of ordinary stars. On the one hand, for a distant observer, they look like ordinary neutron stars with typical masses and sizes. On the other hand, such mixed systems may have some new distinctive characteristics which could, in principle, be traced in astrophysical observations (see Refs. [6, 8]).

* *Email:aringazin@gmail.com*
† *Email:v.dzhunushaliev@gmail.com*
‡ *Email:vfolomeev@mail.ru*
§ *Email:b.kleihaus@uni-oldenburg.de*
¶ *Email:jutta.kunz@uni-oldenburg.de*

Here we add to such mixed systems a magnetic field associated with the neutron matter. Our goal is to clarify the question of how the presence of the wormhole influences the distribution and intensity of the magnetic field inside the neutron matter.

To describe the structure of the magnetic field, we employ a simplified model of a dipole magnetic field as discussed in Ref. [9]. Namely, we consider an axisymmetric, poloidal magnetic field produced by toroidal electric currents, preserving the circularity property of spacetime [10]. In the presence of such a field, the matter configurations retain only axial symmetry. However, since in ordinary neutron stars the energy density of the magnetic field is much smaller than the energy density of the neutron matter, we follow Ref. [9] and make a perturbative expansion of the field equations, including perturbations up to second order. We use these perturbed equations to derive an equation for the current which cannot be arbitrarily chosen but follows from an integrability condition for the perturbation equations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the statement of the problem and derive the corresponding general-relativistic equations for the mixed systems under consideration. In Sec. III we numerically solve these equations for ordinary magnetized neutron stars and for mixed configurations with different choices for the parameters of the systems. Comparing the results, we demonstrate the influence of the nontrivial topology on the structure and strength of the magnetic fields. We perform a linear stability analysis for these configurations in Sec. IV. Finally, in Sec. V we summarize the results obtained.

II. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Here we consider mixed systems consisting of a gravitating ghost scalar field φ (taken for simplicity to be massless) and strongly magnetized neutron matter. The scalar field allows for the presence of a nontrivial wormhole-like topology of the system. The wormhole is threaded by magnetized neutron matter.

The Lagrangian for this system can be presented in the form

$$L = -\frac{c^4}{16\pi G_{\rm N}} R - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi + L_{\rm fl} - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \ . \tag{1}$$

Here $G_{\rm N}$ is the gravitational constant, $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic field strength tensor, and $L_{\rm fl}$ is the Lagrangian of the neutron fluid.

A. Neutron matter equation of state

We assume that the neutron fluid can in general be anisotropic. The latter means that the radial and tangential components of its pressure are not equal to each other. Such a situation may arise at high densities of the neutron matter [11]. To describe the anisotropy, we here employ one of the approaches of Refs. [12, 13], and obtain the following components of the fluid energy-momentum tensor (for details, see Ref. [8]):

$$T^t_{t({\rm fl})} = \varepsilon, \quad T^r_{r({\rm fl})} = -p_{\rm rad}, \quad T^\Theta_{\Theta({\rm fl})} = T^\phi_{\phi({\rm fl})} = -p_{\rm tan}, \tag{2}$$

where the radial and the tangential components of the pressure are

$$p_{\rm rad} = (1-\alpha)p, \quad p_{\rm tan} = (1+\alpha/2)p. \tag{3}$$

Here α is a parameter determining the anisotropy of the fluid, i.e., the anisotropy parameter, and p is the pressure appearing in the equation of state (EOS) [see Eq. (5) below]. Using these expressions, one can eliminate p, and obtain the following relation between the pressure components:

$$p_{\rm tan} = (1+\beta)p_{\rm rad} \quad \text{with} \quad \beta = \frac{3}{2}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}. \tag{4}$$

While at the moment the EOS of neutron matter at high densities is still unknown, the literature in the field offers numerous proposals for the EOS, derived from a variety of physical assumptions [14]. For our purpose, we restrict ourselves to a simplified variant of the EOS, where a more or less realistic neutron matter EOS is approximated in the form of a polytropic EOS. This EOS can be taken in the following form:

$$p = K\rho_b^{1+1/n}, \quad \varepsilon = \rho_b c^2 + np, \tag{5}$$

with the constant $K = kc^2(n_b^{(ch)}m_b)^{1-\gamma}$, the polytropic index $n = 1/(\gamma-1)$, and $\rho_b = n_b m_b$ denotes the rest-mass density of the neutron fluid. Here ε is the energy density of the fluid, n_b is the baryon number density, $n_b^{(ch)}$ is a characteristic value of n_b, m_b is the baryon mass, and k and γ are parameters whose values depend on the properties of the neutron matter.

As in our previous investigations concerning mixed star-plus-wormhole systems [6–8], we here, for simplicity, take only one set of parameters for the neutron fluid. Namely, we choose $m_b = 1.66 \times 10^{-24}\,\mathrm{g}$, $n_b^{(ch)} = 0.1\,\mathrm{fm}^{-3}$, $k = 0.1$, and $\gamma = 2$ [15]. We employ these values for the parameters in the numerical calculations of Sec. III.

B. Field equations

Let us now consider the field equations for the mixed neutron-star-plus-wormhole systems with a dipole magnetic field. Strongly magnetized relativistic stars would, in general, possess a nonspherical shape because of a substantial magnetic pressure. However, for values of the magnetic field strength on the order of $10^{12}-10^{13}$ gauss, deviations from the spherical shape are negligible, since the energy of the magnetic field is considerably smaller than the gravitational energy [16], and only for much higher values of the magnetic field strength the deformation of the star will become substantial.

Therefore, at first sight it seems appropriate to evaluate the metric only to lowest order, i.e., in the spherically symmetric approximation, where the deformation of the star is neglected. Since we here neither consider the rotation of the star, we may thus take the following static spherically symmetric line element in polar Gaussian coordinates

$$ds^2 = e^{\nu}(dx^0)^2 - dr^2 - R^2\left(d\Theta^2 + \sin^2\Theta\, d\phi^2\right), \tag{6}$$

where ν and R are functions of the radial coordinate r only, and $x^0 = c\,t$ is the time coordinate. With this line element we then obtain a set of ordinary differential equations for the metric functions, the fluid and the scalar field. We refer to these equations as the *background equations*.

Having solved the background equations, and restricting to a dipole field, we would like to evaluate the magnetic field in this spherically symmetric background, requiring a certain strength at the boundary of the star. The Maxwell equation then involves a current as source term. However, this current cannot be chosen arbitrarily. It must satisfy an integrability condition [9, 10]. In the case of isotropic neutron matter, the current equation is easily integrated. But in the case of anisotropic neutron matter a consistent solution of the current equation requires the determination of the second order perturbations of the metric.

In the following we first give the set of background equations. Next we discuss the equation for the magnetic field and the second order perturbations for the metric, necessary for obtaining the integrability condition of the current.

1. *Background equations*

To obtain the background equations, we use the metric (6) and take into account the energy-momentum tensor (without an electromagnetic field)

$$T^{\mu}_{\nu} = (\varepsilon + p)u_{\nu}u^{\mu} - (\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} - \Delta^{\mu}_{\nu})\,p - \partial_{\nu}\varphi\partial^{\mu}\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\partial_i\varphi\partial^i\varphi, \tag{7}$$

where $\Delta^{\mu}_{\nu} = \{0, \alpha, -\alpha/2, -\alpha/2\}$.

Then the Einstein equations can be written in the form

$$-\left[2\frac{R''}{R} + \left(\frac{R'}{R}\right)^2\right] + \frac{1}{R^2} = \frac{8\pi G_{\mathrm{N}}}{c^4}T^t_t = \frac{8\pi G_{\mathrm{N}}}{c^4}\left(\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\varphi'^2\right), \tag{8}$$

$$-\frac{R'}{R}\left(\frac{R'}{R} + \nu'\right) + \frac{1}{R^2} = \frac{8\pi G_{\mathrm{N}}}{c^4}T^r_r = \frac{8\pi G_{\mathrm{N}}}{c^4}\left[-(1-\alpha)p + \frac{1}{2}\varphi'^2\right], \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{R''}{R} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{R'}{R}\nu' + \frac{1}{2}\nu'' + \frac{1}{4}\nu'^2 = -\frac{8\pi G_{\mathrm{N}}}{c^4}T^{\Theta}_{\Theta} = \frac{8\pi G_{\mathrm{N}}}{c^4}\left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)p + \frac{1}{2}\varphi'^2\right], \tag{10}$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to r.

Using these expressions, the $\mu = r$ component of the conservation law of the total energy-momentum tensor, $T^{\nu}_{\mu;\nu} = 0$, yields

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{dp}{dr} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\varepsilon + (1-\alpha)p\right]\frac{d\nu}{dr} - 3\alpha\frac{R'}{R}p = 0. \tag{11}$$

The field equation for the massless scalar field can be easily integrated to give

$$\varphi'^2 = \frac{D^2}{R^4} e^{-\nu}, \tag{12}$$

where D is an integration constant.

Thus we have four unknown functions – R, ν, p, and φ – for which there are five equations, (8)-(12), only four of which are independent.

2. Equation for the magnetic field

Following [9], we choose an axisymmetric, poloidal magnetic field, which is created by a 4-current

$$j_\mu = (0, 0, 0, j_\phi). \tag{13}$$

For such a current the electromagnetic 4-potential A_μ has only a ϕ-component

$$A_\mu = (0, 0, 0, A_\phi). \tag{14}$$

Taking into account the nonvanishing components of the electromagnetic field tensor $F_{r\phi} = \partial A_\phi/\partial r$ and $F_{\Theta\phi} = \partial A_\phi/\partial\Theta$, the Maxwell equations yield in the background metric (6) the following elliptic equation for A_ϕ

$$\frac{\partial^2 A_\phi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial r}\frac{\partial A_\phi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{R^2}\frac{\partial^2 A_\phi}{\partial \Theta^2} - \frac{1}{R^2}\cot\Theta\frac{\partial A_\phi}{\partial \Theta} = -\frac{1}{c} j_\phi. \tag{15}$$

The solution of this equation is sought as an expansion of the potential A_ϕ and the current j_ϕ as follows [9, 17]:

$$A_\phi = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_l(r) \sin\Theta \frac{dP_l(\cos\Theta)}{d\Theta}, \tag{16}$$

$$j_\phi = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} j_l(r) \sin\Theta \frac{dP_l(\cos\Theta)}{d\Theta}, \tag{17}$$

where P_l is the Legendre polynomial of degree l. Substituting these expansions into Eq. (15), we obtain

$$a_l'' + \frac{1}{2}\nu' a_l' - \frac{l(l+1)}{R^2} a_l = -\frac{1}{c} j_l. \tag{18}$$

The solution of this equation can be found once the current j_l is specified.

In the present paper we only consider a dipole magnetic field, i.e., $l = 1$. (For convenience, we hereafter drop the index 1 replacing a_1 and j_1 by a and j, respectively.) As discussed above, the current j cannot be chosen arbitrarily, since it must satisfy an integrability condition derived below.

3. Integrability condition

To obtain the integrability condition, we make use of the fact that the magnetic field induces only small deformations in the shape of the geometry of the configuration. To describe these small deviations from spherical symmetry, we follow the approach adopted in Ref. [9] and expand the metric in multipoles around the spherically symmetric spacetime.

The deformations of the metric, the fluid and the scalar field of the configuration represent second order perturbations, whereas the electromagnetic potential and the current correspond to first order perturbations [9]. Then to second order the metric can be taken in the form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= e^{\nu(r)} \left\{1 + 2\left[h_0(r) + h_2(r) P_2(\cos\Theta)\right]\right\} (dx^0)^2 - \left\{1 + \frac{2}{r}\left[m_0(r) + m_2(r) P_2(\cos\Theta)\right]\right\} dr^2 \\ &\quad - R(r)^2 \left[1 + 2k_2(r) P_2(\cos\Theta)\right] \left(d\Theta^2 + \sin^2\Theta d\phi^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where h_0, h_2, m_0, m_2, and k_2 are the second order corrections of the metric, and P_2 denotes the Legendre polynomial of order 2.

Now we need to consider the total energy-momentum tensor of the mixed system with the electromagnetic field included

$$T^{\mu}_{\nu} = (\varepsilon + p)u_{\nu}u^{\mu} - (\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} - \Delta^{\mu}_{\nu})\, p - \partial_{\nu}\varphi\partial^{\mu}\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\partial_{\alpha}\varphi\partial^{\alpha}\varphi - F^{\mu}_{\alpha}F^{\alpha}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{4}\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{20}$$

Here the fluid energy density and pressure and the scalar field are also expanded in second order

$$\varepsilon(r,\Theta) = \varepsilon_0 + \frac{\varepsilon_0'}{p_0'}\left(\delta p_0 + \delta p_2 P_2\right), \tag{21}$$

$$p(r,\Theta) = p_0 + \delta p_0 + \delta p_2 P_2, \tag{22}$$

$$\varphi(r,\Theta) = \varphi_0 + \delta\varphi_0 + \delta\varphi_2 P_2, \tag{23}$$

with the background solutions now denoted by $\varepsilon_0, p_0, \varphi_0$ and the perturbations $\delta p_0, \delta p_2, \delta\varphi_0, \delta\varphi_2$. Note that all perturbations depend on r only.

Substituting the above expressions into the conservation law of the energy-momentum tensor, $T^{\mu}_{\nu;\mu} = 0$, and using the metric (19), we obtain the following $\nu = r$ and $\nu = \Theta$ components

$$(1-\alpha)\delta p_2' = \left\{3\alpha\frac{R'}{R} - \frac{(1-\alpha)p_0' + \varepsilon_0'}{(1-\alpha)p_0 + \varepsilon_0}\left[3\alpha\frac{R'}{R}\frac{p_0}{p_0'} - (1-\alpha)\right]\right\}\delta p_2 - \left[(1-\alpha)p_0 + \varepsilon_0\right]h_2' + 3\alpha p_0 k_2' - \frac{2}{3}\frac{a'}{R^2}\frac{j}{c}, \tag{24}$$

$$(2+\alpha)\delta p_2 = -\left[(2+\alpha)p_0 + 2\varepsilon_0\right]h_2 - 3\alpha\frac{m_2 p_0}{r} - \frac{4}{3}\frac{a}{R^2}\frac{j}{c}. \tag{25}$$

It is clear that in order to find a consistent solution to these equations, the current j cannot be chosen arbitrarily but should be obtained from the condition of their joint integrability. To do this, we differentiate Eq. (25) and subtract the expression obtained from (24). The resulting equation for the current then is [for the EOS (5) with $\gamma = 2$]:

$$j' + Fj + N = 0 \tag{26}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F \;=\; & \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)\left[1 + (2-\alpha)K\rho_b/c^2\right]}\Big\{ -4\left(1 + 2K\rho_b/c^2\right)\frac{R'}{R} - 2\left(1 + 4K\rho_b/c^2\right)\frac{\rho_b'}{\rho_b} \\ & +\; 4\alpha^2\left(\frac{3}{4}\frac{a'}{a} - \frac{R'}{R} - \frac{\rho_b'}{\rho_b}\right)K\frac{\rho_b}{c^2} + \alpha\left[-3\left(1 + 2K\rho_b/c^2\right)\frac{a'}{a} + \left(1 + 12K\rho_b/c^2\right)\frac{R'}{R} + 2\left(1 + 6K\rho_b/c^2\right)\frac{\rho_b'}{\rho_b}\right]\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N \;=\; & \alpha\frac{9c^3R^2\rho_b}{4(1-\alpha)a}\Big\{ -\left(1 + K\rho_b/c^2\right)h_2' + (2+\alpha)\frac{K\rho_b}{c^2}k_2' + (1-\alpha)\frac{K\rho_b}{c^2}\frac{m_2'}{r} \\ & -\; \frac{3\alpha rR'/R + 2(1-\alpha)\left[1 + (2-\alpha)K\rho_b/c^2 - r\rho_b'/\rho_b\right]}{2\left[1 + (2-\alpha)K\rho_b/c^2\right]}\frac{K\rho_b}{c^2}\frac{m_2}{r^2} \\ & -\; \frac{\left[2 + (4+\alpha)K\rho_b/c^2\right]R'/R - 2(1-\alpha)K\rho_b'/c^2}{2\left[1 + (2-\alpha)K\rho_b/c^2\right]}h_2\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We note that all terms in Eq. (26) should be of first order. Since j itself is of first order, the function F must be of zeroth order. On the other hand, the function N must be of first order. Since the potential a, which is of first order, enters in the denominator, we must keep terms up to second order in the numerator for consistency.

Now we must consider a distinction of cases:

- *isotropic case*: $\alpha = 0$

  Here $N = 0$, and Eq. (26) can be integrated to give

  $$j = c_0 R^2 \rho_b\left(1 + 2K\rho_b/c^2\right), \tag{29}$$

  where c_0 is an integration constant. This expression corresponds to the one obtained in Ref. [9] [see their Eq. (25)], written for our EOS (5).

  Here the second order perturbations of the metric and the fluid as well as the scalar field are not needed to obtain a consistent solution in first order.

- *anisotropic case*: $\alpha \neq 0$

  Now $N \neq 0$, and a consistent treatment in first order needs the second order perturbations. The functions h_2, k_2, and m_2 appearing in (28) are determined by the following set of equations deduced from the Einstein equations

$$h_2' + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\nu' \frac{R}{R'}\right) k_2' = \left(\nu' + \frac{R'}{R}\right) \frac{m_2}{r} + \frac{2}{RR'} k_2 + \frac{3}{RR'} h_2$$
$$+ \frac{4\pi G_{\rm N}}{c^4} \left\{ (1-\alpha)\delta p_2 - \frac{1}{3R^2}\left(a'^2 + \frac{4}{R^2}a^2\right) - \varphi_0' \delta\varphi_2' + \varphi_0'^2 \frac{m_2}{r} \right\} \frac{R}{R'}, \tag{30}$$

$$h_2' + k_2' = \left(\frac{R'}{R} - \frac{1}{2}\nu'\right) h_2 + \left(\frac{R'}{R} + \frac{1}{2}\nu'\right) \frac{m_2}{r} + \frac{8\pi G_{\rm N}}{c^4} \left(\frac{2}{3}\frac{aa'}{R^2} + \varphi_0' \delta\varphi_2\right), \tag{31}$$

$$h_2 + \frac{m_2}{r} = \frac{8\pi G_{\rm N}}{c^4} \frac{a'^2}{3}, \tag{32}$$

$$k_2'' + 3\frac{R'}{R} k_2' - \left[2\frac{R''}{R} + \left(\frac{R'}{R}\right)^2 + \frac{3}{R^2}\right] \frac{m_2}{r} - \frac{2}{R^2} k_2 - \frac{R'}{R}\left(\frac{m_2}{r}\right)'$$
$$= \frac{4\pi G_{\rm N}}{c^4} \left(-\frac{\varepsilon_0'}{p_0'} \delta p_2 + \varphi_0' \delta\varphi_2' - \varphi_0'^2 \frac{m_2}{r} - \frac{4}{3}\frac{a^2}{R^4} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{a'^2}{R^2}\right). \tag{33}$$

  In turn, the scalar field equation gives the perturbation equation

$$\delta\varphi_2'' + \left(\frac{1}{2}\nu' + 2\frac{R'}{R}\right) \delta\varphi_2' - \frac{6}{R^2}\delta\varphi_2 + \left[h_2' + 2k_2' - \left(\frac{m_2}{r}\right)'\right] \varphi_0' = 0. \tag{34}$$

  These equations are then solved, in order to determine the current in a consistent way.

4. Scheme

To obtain the solutions in first order, we must therefore consider the isotropic and anisotropic cases separately.

- In the isotropic case we have five unknown functions – R, ν, p_0, φ_0, and a – for which there are six equations, (8)-(12), (18), only five of which are independent. These equations are supplemented by the EOS (5), and also by the expression for the current j, Eq. (29).
- In the anisotropic case we must solve in addition Eq. (26) together with the equations for the second order perturbations, Eqs. (30)-(34) and Eq. (25).

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We now discuss our results, obtained by numerically integrating the equations for magnetized neutron stars and for magnetized mixed systems with isotropic ($\beta = 0$) as well as anisotropic ($\beta \neq 0$) fluids.

A. Neutron stars with magnetic field

Since we want to compare the magnetic field distributions of mixed systems with a nontrivial spacetime topology with those of ordinary neutron stars, we begin with the simple case of magnetized ordinary neutron stars, where no scalar field is present and the neutron fluid is isotropic.

We follow Ref. [9], but employ the EOS (5) for the neutron matter (instead of the one used in [9]). Working in first order, and considering the isotropic case, there is no need to evaluate second order contributions to the metric and the fluid.

It is convenient to rewrite the general set of equations (8)-(11) and (18) in terms of the following dimensionless variables

$$\xi = \frac{r}{L}, \quad \Sigma = \frac{R}{L}, \quad \bar{a}(\xi) = \frac{8\pi G_{\rm N}\sqrt{\rho_{bc}}}{c^3}\, a(r), \quad \text{where} \quad L = \frac{c}{\sqrt{8\pi G_{\rm N}\rho_{bc}}}. \tag{35}$$

Moreover, we parametrize the fluid density as follows [18]:

$$\rho_b = \rho_{bc}\,\theta^n, \tag{36}$$

where ρ_{bc} is the rest-mass density of the neutron fluid at the center of the star.

The dimensionless equations then take the form

$$-\left[2\frac{\Sigma''}{\Sigma}+\left(\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma}\right)^2\right]+\frac{1}{\Sigma^2}=(1+\sigma n\theta)\theta^n, \tag{37}$$

$$-\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma}\left(\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma}+\nu'\right)+\frac{1}{\Sigma^2}=-\sigma\theta^{n+1}, \tag{38}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma''}{\Sigma}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma}\nu'+\frac{1}{2}\nu''+\frac{1}{4}\nu'^2=\sigma\theta^{n+1}, \tag{39}$$

$$\sigma(n+1)\theta'+\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\sigma(n+1)\theta\right]\nu'=0, \tag{40}$$

$$\bar{a}''+\frac{1}{2}\nu'\bar{a}'-\frac{2}{\Sigma^2}\bar{a}=-\bar{j}\equiv -A_0\,\Sigma^2\,(1+2\sigma\theta)\,\theta. \tag{41}$$

Here $\sigma = K\rho_{bc}^{1/n}/c^2 = p_c/(\rho_{bc}c^2)$ is a constant related to the pressure p_c of the fluid at the center. The values of the fluid parameters appearing here are taken from the end of Sec. II A (including the value $\gamma = 2$ corresponding to $n = 1$). The expression for the dimensionless current $\bar{j} = j/(c^2\sqrt{\rho_{bc}})$, used in Eq. (41), is derived from (29), and $A_0 = c_0/(8\pi G_{\rm N}\sqrt{\rho_{bc}})$ [with c_0 the integration constant of j, Eq. (29)] is an arbitrary dimensionless constant.

The boundary conditions at the center are given by

$$\Sigma \approx \xi+\frac{1}{6}\,\Sigma_3\xi^3,\quad \nu\approx\nu_c+\frac{1}{2}\,\nu_2\xi^2,\quad \theta\approx\theta_c+\frac{1}{2}\,\theta_2\xi^2,\quad \bar{a}\approx a_c\,\xi^2, \tag{42}$$

where ν_c and $\theta_c = 1$ are the central values of the corresponding functions, and the coefficients Σ_3, ν_2, and θ_2 are

$$\Sigma_3=-\frac{1}{3}\,(1+\sigma n\theta_c)\,\theta_c^n,\quad \nu_2=\sigma\theta_c^{n+1}-\Sigma_3,\quad \theta_2=-\frac{1+\sigma(n+1)\theta_c}{2\sigma(n+1)}\nu_2. \tag{43}$$

Using these boundary conditions, we numerically solved the set of Eqs. (37)-(41). Starting the integration near the origin (i.e., $\xi \approx 0$) we integrated outwards up to the point $\xi = \xi_b$, where the function θ became zero, corresponding to the boundary of the fluid. (Note that the boundary of the fluid ξ_b is defined by $p(\xi_b) = 0$.)

At the boundary of the fluid these interior solutions were then matched with the exterior solutions by equating the corresponding values of the functions Σ, ν, $\bar{a}$ and their derivatives. The exterior solutions in turn were obtained by requiring asymptotic flatness. Knowledge of the asymptotic solutions then allowed to determine the value of the integration constant ν_c at the center.

The free parameters a_c and A_0 of the electromagnetic potential were chosen such that the magnetic field (44) has a surface value typical for magnetic neutron stars, i.e., $\sim 10^{12}$ gauss, while asymptotically the field is vanishing.

In order to get a better understanding of the magnetic field, we consider its tetrad components

$$B_{\hat{r}} = -F_{\hat{\Theta}\hat{\phi}} = 2c\sqrt{\rho_{bc}}\frac{\bar{a}}{\Sigma^2}\cos\Theta,\quad B_{\hat{\Theta}} = F_{\hat{r}\hat{\phi}} = -c\sqrt{\rho_{bc}}\frac{\bar{a}'}{\Sigma}\sin\Theta. \tag{44}$$

Fig. 1 exhibits these tetrad components together with the current distribution for a neutron star with central rest-mass density $\rho_{bc} = 5.37\times10^{14}$g cm^{-3}. The component $B_{\hat{r}}$ is calculated on the symmetry axis ($\Theta = 0$), and the component $B_{\hat{\Theta}}$ – in the equatorial plane ($\Theta = \pi/2$).

We note that an ordinary magnetized (isotropic) neutron star has a nonvanishing magnetic field at the center. In contrast, its current vanishes at the center. The corresponding magnetic field lines are exhibited in Fig. 2. Finally, the corresponding energy density $T^0_{0(\rm fl)} = \varepsilon$ of the neutron fluid [see Eqs. (2) and (5)] is shown in Fig. 3.

B. Mixed neutron-star-plus-wormhole systems

1. *Background equations*

Following Ref. [8], we rewrite the equations (8)-(12) in terms of another set of dimensionless variables. For that purpose we consider the massless scalar field φ. Without loss of generality, its value at the center of the configuration,

i.e., at $r = 0$, can be taken equal to zero, while its derivative at $r = 0$ is nonzero. In the neighborhood of the center the scalar field can be expanded as

$$\varphi_0 \approx \varphi_1 r + \frac{1}{6}\varphi_3 r^3, \tag{45}$$

where φ_1 is the derivative at the center. Its square is a measure for the "kinetic" energy of the scalar field.

It is now convenient to employ the following dimensionless variables expressed in terms of φ_1:

$$\xi = \frac{r}{L}, \quad \Sigma = \frac{R}{L}, \quad \phi_0(\xi) = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi G_{\rm N}}}{c^2}\,\varphi_0(r), \quad \text{where} \quad L = \frac{c^2}{\sqrt{8\pi G_{\rm N}}\varphi_1}. \tag{46}$$

We then rewrite Eqs. (8)-(12) in the dimensionless form

$$-\left[2\frac{\Sigma''}{\Sigma} + \left(\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma}\right)^2\right] + \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} = \mathcal{B}(1+\sigma n\theta)\theta^n - \frac{1}{2}\phi_0'^2, \tag{47}$$

$$-\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma}\left(\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma} + \nu'\right) + \frac{1}{\Sigma^2} = -\mathcal{B}\sigma(1-\alpha)\theta^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_0'^2, \tag{48}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma''}{\Sigma} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma}\nu' + \frac{1}{2}\nu'' + \frac{1}{4}\nu'^2 = \mathcal{B}\sigma\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\theta^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_0'^2, \tag{49}$$

$$\sigma(n+1)(1-\alpha)\theta' + \frac{1}{2}\left[1+\sigma(n+1-\alpha)\theta\right]\nu' - 3\alpha\sigma\theta\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma} = 0, \tag{50}$$

$$\phi_0'^2 = \frac{e^{\nu_c - \nu}}{(\Sigma/\Sigma_c)^4}. \tag{51}$$

Here $\mathcal{B} = (\rho_{bc}c^2)/\varphi_1^2$ is a dimensionless quantity, providing a measure of the ratio of the rest-energy density of the fluid to the energy density of the scalar field at the center; Σ_c and ν_c are the central values of the corresponding functions [see Eq. (52) below]; and the integration constant D from Eq. (12) is chosen as $D^2 = \left(c^4/8\pi G_{\rm N}\varphi_1\right)^2 \Sigma_c^4 e^{\nu_c}$ to provide $\phi_0' = 1$ at the center.

Let us now address the boundary conditions for the mixed systems. We consider asymptotically flat configurations, that are symmetric under $\xi \to -\xi$. As discussed in [8], the metric function $\Sigma(\xi)$ can possess either a minimum at $\xi = 0$, corresponding to a single-throat configuration, or it can possess a local maximum, corresponding to a double-throat configuration. In any case, in the neighborhood of the center the following expansion is appropriate

$$\Sigma \approx \Sigma_c + \frac{1}{2}\,\Sigma_2\xi^2, \quad \nu \approx \nu_c + \frac{1}{2}\,\nu_2\xi^2, \quad \theta \approx \theta_c + \frac{1}{2}\,\theta_2\xi^2, \tag{52}$$

where θ_c is chosen to be 1 in subsequent numerical calculations. Inserting this expansion in Eqs. (47)-(51), we find the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Sigma_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1/2 - \mathcal{B}\sigma(1-\alpha)\theta_c^{n+1}}}, \quad \Sigma_2 = \frac{\Sigma_c}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\Sigma_c^2} - \mathcal{B}\left(1+\sigma n\theta_c\right)\theta_c^n\right], \\
&\nu_2 = 2\left[\frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{B}\sigma\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\theta_c^{n+1} - \frac{\Sigma_2}{\Sigma_c}\right], \\
&\theta_2 = \frac{1}{\sigma(n+1)(1-\alpha)}\left\{3\alpha\sigma\theta_c\frac{\Sigma_2}{\Sigma_c} - \frac{1}{2}\left[1+\sigma(n+1-\alpha)\theta_c\right]\nu_2\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

The conditions (52) are supplemented by the conditions for the scalar field at the center, $\phi_0(0) = 0,\ \phi_0'(0) = 1$.

The asymptotic behavior of the background solutions is given by

$$\phi_0 \to C_1 - \frac{C_2}{\xi}, \quad \Sigma \to \xi, \quad \Sigma' \to 1 - \frac{C_3}{\xi}, \quad e^{\nu} \to 1 - 2\frac{C_3}{\xi}\ , \tag{54}$$

where the C_i are integration constants.

With all this at hand, Eqs. (47)-(51) can be solved numerically. But before discussing the results, let us address two features of the mixed systems.

First, we note that depending on the parameters of the system the coefficient Σ_2 can be either positive or negative [see Eq. (53)]. This determines whether the mixed configurations possess a single throat at the center or an equator surrounded by a double throat (cf. Ref. [8]).

We previously showed [8] that the behavior of the metric function $\Sigma(\xi)$ in the neighborhood of the center of the system, $\xi = 0$, depends strongly on the value of the parameter $\mathcal{B}$. For small values of $\mathcal{B}$, there is a single throat, located at the center of the configuration. But for increasing values of $\mathcal{B}$ the center of the configuration no longer represents a throat but instead corresponds to an equator. On each side of the equator a minimal area surface and thus a throat is located. The resulting configurations represent double-throat systems, where the throats can be or not be filled by the fluid. The expression for $\mathcal{B}$,

$$\mathcal{B} = 8\pi G_{\rm N}\rho_{bc}(L/c)^2, \tag{55}$$

shows that the value of $\mathcal{B}$ is determined by fixing L and ρ_{bc}.

Second, the presence of an anisotropic pressure of the neutron fluid permits us to obtain solutions describing configurations with a maximum value of the density of the fluid $\rho_{\rm max}$ that is not located at the center but at some $\xi \neq 0$. Indeed, as seen from the expression for θ_2 in Eq. (53), in the presence of anisotropy, i.e., for $\alpha \neq 0$, θ_2 can become positive. Thus the function θ can possess a local minimum at the center.

This situation is similar to the one encountered for ordinary neutron stars supported by an anisotropic fluid [12, 13]. In this case the central density of the neutron fluid ρ_{bc} represents a characteristic parameter, which affects the value of the parameter $\mathcal{B}$ as well [see Eq. (55)]. As shown in Ref. [8], this parameter in effect determines the structure of the resulting solutions and physical parameters (masses and sizes) of the configurations under consideration.

In this case there is some value $\mathcal{B}_p$ at which θ_2 passes through 0 and becomes negative. This then returns us to ordinary configurations where the maximum density of the neutron fluid resides at the center. Only for $0 < \mathcal{B} < \mathcal{B}_p$ θ_2 is positive, lying within the bounds

$$\frac{3\alpha\theta_c}{2(n+1)(1-\alpha)} \gtrsim \theta_2 > 0 \tag{56}$$

(where the left restriction is obtained in the limit $\mathcal{B} \to 0$). Note that we here consider only positive values of α, for which the above inequality is valid. As the anisotropy increases, i.e., as β increases, $\alpha \to 1$ from below, resulting in an increase of θ_2 and correspondingly an increase of the maximum density $\rho_{\rm max}$. This leads to changes in the masses and sizes of the resulting configurations, as discussed below.

2. Magnetic field equations

We now turn to the set of perturbative equations involving the determination of the electromagnetic potential and the current. Analogous to the background equations, we here introduce appropriate dimensionless variables

$$\bar{a}(\xi) = \frac{8\pi G_{\rm N}\varphi_1}{c^4}\, a(r), \quad \bar{j}(\xi) = \frac{j(r)}{c\varphi_1}. \tag{57}$$

Then we rewrite Eqs. (18) and (26) in dimensionless form

$$\bar{a}'' + \frac{1}{2}\nu'\bar{a}' - \frac{2}{\Sigma^2}\bar{a} = -\bar{j}, \tag{58}$$

$$\bar{j}' + \bar{F}\bar{j} + \bar{N} = 0, \tag{59}$$

where $\bar{F}, \bar{N}$ are the dimensionless expressions obtained from F and N, Eqs. (27) and (28).

To determine the boundary conditions, we again make an expansion in the neighborhood of the center

$$\bar{a} \approx a_c + \frac{1}{2}\, a_2\xi^2, \quad \bar{j} \approx j_c + \frac{1}{2}\, j_2\xi^2. \tag{60}$$

Note that unlike for the neutron star, which has $\bar{a}, \bar{j} = 0$ at the center [see Eq. (42)], we here must allow for nonzero central values of these variables at $\xi = 0$, to obtain asymptotically flat solutions.

Inserting these conditions in Eq. (58), we find

$$a_2 = 2\frac{a_c}{\Sigma_c^2} - j_c.$$

- In the isotropic case $\bar{N} = 0$, and Eq. (59) can be solved to give

$$\bar{j} = A_1\mathcal{B}\,\Sigma^2\,[1 + 2\sigma\theta]\,\theta, \tag{61}$$

where $A_1 = c_0 c/(8\pi G_{\rm N}\varphi_1)$ is an arbitrary dimensionless constant. Subsequently Eq. (58) can be solved.

- When an anisotropy is present, together with Eqs. (58) and (59) the Einstein equations (30)-(33) and the scalar-field equation (34) for the second order perturbations need to be solved. Here we choose the boundary conditions for them in the form

$$h_2 \approx h_{2c} + \frac{1}{2}h_{22}\xi^2, \quad k_2 \approx k_{2c} + \frac{1}{2}k_{22}\xi^2, \quad \delta\phi_2 \approx \delta\phi_{21}\xi. \tag{62}$$

  The parameters $a_c, j_c, h_{2c}, k_{2c}, \delta\phi_{21}$ appearing in (60) and (62) are chosen in such a way as to provide regularity of the perturbed solutions at the center, $\xi = 0$, and to obtain asymptotically decaying solutions for $\xi \to \infty$. Taking into account the asymptotic behavior of the background solutions, Eq. (54), we find for the second order functions the asymptotic behavior $\delta\phi_2 \sim \xi^{-3},\ h_2, k_2 \sim \xi^{-4}$.

Finally, we take the tetrad components of the magnetic field in the form

$$B_{\hat{r}} = 2c\sqrt{\frac{\rho_{bc}}{\mathcal{B}}}\frac{\bar{a}}{\Sigma^2}\cos\Theta, \quad B_{\hat{\Theta}} = -c\sqrt{\frac{\rho_{bc}}{\mathcal{B}}}\frac{\bar{a}'}{\Sigma}\sin\Theta. \tag{63}$$

3. Results for isotropic and anisotropic fluids

We now discuss the numerical results for the mixed systems for isotropic and anisotropic neutron fluids. We here consider only configurations with a single throat. In particular, we illustrate the magnetic field and the current for 4 systems, denoted mix 1 – mix 4, all possessing approximately the same total mass $M \approx 3.17 M_\odot$. While this mass is somewhat on the large side for ordinary neutron stars, the properties of the magnetic field obtained for these compact objects appear to be generic. Moreover, all configurations are chosen such as to possess approximately the same surface magnetic field $B_s \approx 10^{12}$ gauss.

Consequently, a distant observer would measure the same mass and surface magnetic field for each of the four mixed configurations as well as for the ordinary neutron star, exhibited in Figs. 1-3. While similar in these respects, the neutron star and the mixed systems will differ, however, strongly in other respects, as for instance in their sizes.

- Isotropic fluid ($\beta = 0$)

  For the first mixed system, mix 1, we follow Ref. [8], and take a characteristic length $L = 10\,\mathrm{km}$. To obtain a total mass of $M \approx 3.17 M_\odot$, we choose $\rho_{bc} = 2.75 \times 10^{14}\mathrm{g\ cm^{-3}}$. The free parameters a_c and A_1 associated with the magnetic field are chosen such as to provide a surface magnetic field of strength $B_s \approx 10^{12}$gauss. (In particular, the surface value of the magnetic field strength on the symmetry axis ($\Theta = 0$) is chosen to have this value.) The results of the calculations are shown in Figs. 1–3 by the curves labeled by mix 1.

  In Fig. 1 the value of the component $B_{\hat{r}}$ on the symmetry axis ($\Theta = 0$), the value of the component $B_{\hat{\Theta}}$ in the equatorial plane ($\Theta = \pi/2$), and the current $\bar{j}$ are shown. While the component $B_{\hat{r}}$ is monotonic for the neutron star as well as for the mixed configuration, it is much smaller in the interior for the system mix 1. The component $B_{\hat{\Theta}}$, on the other hand, is equal to zero at the center of the mixed configuration. This is in contrast to its large absolute value at the center of an ordinary neutron star. For the mixed configuration the vanishing of $B_{\hat{\Theta}}$ at the center results from the boundary conditions (52) and (60), necessary for asymptotically flat solutions.

  The currents $\bar{j}$ differ also considerably for the mixed configuration and an ordinary neutron star, as seen in Fig. 1. The current of the neutron star has its maximum roughly in the middle of the star, $\xi \approx \xi_b/2$, tending to zero at the center and at the boundary. In contrast, for the mixed system mix 1 the current rises monotonically, reaching its maximum at the center, $\xi = 0$.

  The magnetic field lines are exhibited in Fig. 2, where the spatial coordinates are given in units of the radius of the fluid, R. The radius of the mixed system mix 1 is given by $R \approx 16\,\mathrm{km}$ as compared to $R \approx 26\,\mathrm{km}$ for the neutron star. But apart from the different sizes, there is a profound distinction in the field lines, since in the mixed system all field lines penetrate the center, i.e., the wormhole throat.

  In Fig. 3 we exhibit the energy density $T^t_{t(\mathrm{fl})}$ of the neutron fluid. The energy density is monotonic for both the ordinary neutron star and the mixed system. We note that the energy densities are given in different units here, $\rho_{bc}c^2$ for the neutron star and φ_1^2 for the mixed system.

- Anisotropic fluid ($\beta \neq 0$)

  As discussed above, in the presence of an anisotropy there are two different types of solutions. For $\mathcal{B} > \mathcal{B}_p$ the density of the neutron fluid has its maximum $\rho_{\max}$ at the center. For $\mathcal{B} < \mathcal{B}_p$, on the other hand, the maximum of the neutron fluid density is located away from the center.



FIG. 1: The tetrad component $B_{\hat{r}}$ and $B_{\hat{\Theta}}$ of the magnetic field, evaluated on the symmetry axis ($\Theta = 0$) and in the equatorial plane ($\Theta = \pi/2$), respectively, (in units of the surface strength of the magnetic field $B_s = 10^{12}$ gauss), and the dimensionless current $\bar{j}$ are shown versus the radial coordinate ξ (in units of the boundary value of the neutron fluid, ξ_b). Configurations with the following parameters are exhibited: for the neutron star we assume a central mass-density of the neutron matter $\rho_{bc} = 5.37 \times 10^{14}$g cm^{-3}, a length scale $L = 10$ km, and $\beta = 0$ (isotropic fluid). For the mixed systems we take: for mix 1 – $\rho_{bc} = 2.75 \times 10^{14}$g cm^{-3}, $L = 10$ km, and $\beta = 0$; for mix 2 – $\rho_{bc} = 3.25 \times 10^{14}$g cm^{-3}, $L = 10$ km, and $\beta = 0.4$; for mix 3 – $\rho_{bc} = 2.75 \times 10^{14}$g cm^{-3}, $L = 1$ km, and $\beta = 0.4$; for mix 4 – $\rho_{bc} = 0.0258 \times 10^{14}$g cm^{-3}, $L = 1$ km, and $\beta = 2$. The systems mix 3 and mix 4 have a shifted maximum of the neutron matter density. All configurations have approximately the same total mass, $M \approx 3.17 M_\odot$.



FIG. 2: Magnetic field lines in the $x-z$ plane are shown for the configurations of Fig. 1. The circles denote the boundary of the neutron fluid, possessing radius R.



FIG. 3: Energy density $T^t_{t(\mathrm{fl})}$ of the neutron fluid (in units of $\rho_{bc}c^2$ for the neutron star and φ_1^2 for the mixed systems) for the configurations of Fig. 1. For the systems mix 3 and mix 4 the energy density has been multiplied by a factor of 100 to make the scales of the graphs comparable. The energy density of the scalar field (in units of φ_1^2) is shown in the inset for the system mix 4.

(i) $\mathcal{B} > \mathcal{B}_p$:
To obtain a total mass of $M \approx 3.17 M_\odot$, we may choose, for example, the anisotropy parameter $\beta = 0.4$ together with the central rest-mass density $\rho_{bc} = 3.25 \times 10^{14}$g cm^{-3}. We refer to the resulting system as mix 2. The curves labeled by mix 2 in Fig. 1 show the magnetic field components and the current for this mixed system. In spite of the anisotropy, the magnetic field and the current are similar to those of the isotropic case, i.e., mix 1.

This holds also for the magnetic field lines shown in Fig. 2, where the neutron fluid has a radius $R \approx 13\,$km. Likewise, the energy density $T^0_{0(\mathrm{fl})}$ of the neutron fluid shown in Fig. 3 is similar for mix 1 and mix 2. Typical distributions of the total energy density (with the energy density of the scalar field also taken into account) can be found in Ref. [8].

(ii) $\mathcal{B} < \mathcal{B}_p$:
One can obtain configurations with a shifted maximum, resulting from a sufficiently small value of the parameter $\mathcal{B}$, for example, by reducing the value of the characteristic length L, e.g., for the choice $L = 1\,$km, selected here. In order to obtain configurations with a total mass of $M \approx 3.17 M_\odot$, we here consider two values of the anisotropy parameter β: $\beta = 0.4$ with $\rho_{bc} = 2.75 \times 10^{14}$g cm^{-3} and $\beta = 2$ with $\rho_{bc} = 0.0258 \times 10^{14}$g cm^{-3}. The resulting mixed configurations are labeled by mix 3 and mix 4 in the figures.

Fig. 1 shows that $B_{\hat{r}}$ now assumes its maximum also away from the center of the configuration. For mix 3 its maximum is roughly at $\xi_b/2$, while for mix 4 it is still further outwards. Likewise, $B_{\hat{\Theta}}$ assumes a minimum away from the center, roughly at $\xi_b/4$ for mix 3, while again further outwards for mix 4.

Also the current is no longer maximal at the center, but it assumes its maximum values close to those of $B_{\hat{r}}$ for mix 3 and mix 4. Moreover, it is interesting to note that for the systems mix 3 and mix 4 the current can take negative values in the inner regions. Getting close to zero at the center, the current of these configurations is thus more akin to the current of a neutron star than to the current of the mixed configurations mix 1 and mix 2, where the current becomes maximum at the center.

This is reflected in Fig. 2, where the magnetic field lines of the configurations mix 3 and mix 4 resemble more those of the neutron star. Thus only little magnetic flux penetrates the throat. The neutron fluids of these configurations have radii $R \approx 24\,$km for mix 3 and $R \approx 27\,$km for mix 4. Finally, the energy density $T^t_{t(\mathrm{fl})}$ of the neutron fluid shown in Fig. 3 exhibits a local minimum at the center, i.e., at the throat, while its maximum is shifted away from the center, as required.

Finally we note that for the systems mix 3 and mix 4 the energy density of the neutron fluid in the central region is small as compared to the energy density of the scalar field, shown in the inset in Fig. 3. For larger values of ξ, however, it becomes dominant, providing most of the total mass of the system.

IV. STABILITY

Let us now address the stability of the mixed configurations, focussing on the aspect of anisotropy, and compare with the stability of ordinary neutron stars. We here do not consider the influence of the magnetic field, since its contribution to the energy balance of these configurations is negligible, as long as we consider values of the surface field strength on the order of $B_s = 10^{12}$ gauss. Consequently, also the deformation of the configurations is negligible, and we address in the following only the stability of the spherically symmetric background configurations.

A. Neutron stars

For a given EOS, neutrons stars form a family of configurations, characterized by the value of their central density. As the central density of ordinary neutron stars increases, their mass first increases, reaches a maximum value $M_{\max}$, and then decreases again. The maximum value of the mass is crucial from the stability point of view, since at $M_{\max}$ the stability of the neutron stars changes. Denoting the central density associated with $M_{\max}$ as the critical density, a linear stability analysis reveals that at the critical density the eigenvalue of the radial mode changes sign, making neutron stars with a larger central density unstable.

Neutron stars with a shifted maximum of the neutron fluid density, as caused by anisotropy, were investigated in Ref. [13]. Here the dependence of the mass on the maximum value of the fluid density was considered (instead of the central value as in the isotropic case). By studying linear radial oscillations of these stars, the authors of [13] showed that the square of the lowest eigenfrequency of these oscillations changes sign at the maximum value of the mass $M_{\max}$, completely analogous to the isotropic case. Thus the well-known criterion for stability, according to which

configurations with a central density below (above) a critical value are stable (unstable), generalizes to the anisotropic case, when the *central density* is replaced by the *maximum density*.

B. Mixed configurations

For the mixed configurations a linear stability analysis has been performed in Ref. [8] for isotropic and anisotropic neutron fluids. In the latter case, however, the maximum value of the density was not shifted away from the center. Thus only families of configurations of the type mix 1 and mix 2 were considered. Here the square of the lowest eigenfrequency of the radial modes was calculated and all of these configurations were shown to be unstable. In fact, the unstable mode of the wormhole [19, 20] is inherited by the mixed neutron-star-plus-wormhole configurations [8].

Let us now consider the stability of mixed configurations with an anisotropic neutron fluid and a maximum fluid density shifted away from the center, i.e., configurations of the type mix 3 or mix 4. Performing a mode analysis of these systems reveals, however, that the location of the maximum fluid density is not relevant for the stability. The eigenvalue of the set of equations is always negative. Thus the wormhole with its negative mode dominates the stability properties. The negative mode is inherited, independent of the particular properties of the fluid.

TABLE I: Characteristics of configurations of the type mix 4 with $\beta = 2$ with a shifted maximum of the density of the neutron fluid (see Fig. 3). Here the central rest-mass density ρ_{bc}, the maximum density $\rho_{\max}$ of the neutron fluid (both in units of 10^{14}g cm^{-3}), the total mass M (in solar mass units), the radius of the neutron fluid R (in kilometers), and the square of the lowest eigenfrequency ω_0^2 are shown.

ρ_{bc}	$\rho_{\max}$	$M/M_\odot$	R	ω_0^2
0.01	0.713	2.205	29.992	-0.45
0.0258	1.529	3.172	27.105	-0.32
0.06	2.772	3.572	23.876	-0.20
0.09	3.588	3.582	22.245	-0.16
0.15	4.855	3.462	20.218	-0.12
0.30	7.062	3.150	17.631	-0.082
2.75	18.837	1.937	11.485	-0.028

We illustrate the instability of this type of configurations in Table I, where we show a set of relevant properties for solutions associated with the mix 4 parameter set, i.e., for a family of configurations with length scale $L = 1\,$km and anisotropy parameter $\beta = 2$. In particular, we exhibit the central rest-mass density ρ_{bc}, the maximum density $\rho_{\max}$, the mass M, the radius R and the eigenvalue of the stability equations, i.e., the square of the lowest eigenfrequency ω_0^2.

As seen in the table, while both densities increase the total mass of the system approaches a maximum $M_{\max}$ at a critical value of ρ_{bc} with an associated $\rho_{\max}$. However, the eigenvalues are always negative, and thus the systems under consideration are all linearly unstable. Consequently, the criterion for stability based on the dependence of the mass M on the rest-mass density (central ρ_{bc} or shifted $\rho_{\max}$) cannot be applied for mixed neutron-star-plus-wormhole systems.

V. CONCLUSION

In the present paper we studied equilibrium magnetized mixed configurations consisting of a wormhole threaded by a neutron fluid with an isotropic or anisotropic pressure. The fluid is described by the simplest relativistic polytropic EOS (5). In contrast to ordinary neutron stars, such mixed configurations possess a nontrivial topology of the spacetime permitted by the presence of exotic matter in the form of a massless ghost scalar field.

Our goal was to investigate the influence of the nontrivial topology on the structure of the magnetic field, which was modeled here in the form of an axisymmetric, poloidal magnetic field produced by a toroidal electric current. For the sake of comparison, we considered also an ordinary magnetized neutron star (with trivial topology), described by the same EOS, with the same form of the magnetic field, created by the same type of electric current.

For both types of configurations, the ordinary neutron star and the mixed systems, the physical parameters were chosen in such a way that they would look similar from the point of view of a distant observer, i.e., they should possess the same masses and surface strengths of the magnetic field.

The results can be summarized as follows:

(i) In the case of an isotropic fluid, there are substantial differences in the magnetic field and the current of a mixed system as compared to an ordinary neutron star: the mixed system has a considerably smaller central value of the radial component $B_{\hat{r}}$, as seen in Fig. 1. At the same time, the component $B_{\hat{\Theta}}$ tends to zero at the center, in contrast to an ordinary neutron star, where $|B_{\hat{\Theta}}|$ becomes maximum at the center. The magnetic field lines of this system differ strongly from those of ordinary neutron stars, with all of the magnetic flux penetrating the throat.

(ii) In the case of an anisotropic neutron fluid, there are two types of solutions, where the neutron fluid has a maximum density either at the center of the system or shifted away from the center, as seen in Fig. 3. In the first case, the magnetic field and the current are very similar to those of a mixed system with an isotropic fluid, (i). In the second case, however, the magnetic field and the current differ quite strongly from those obtained for systems whose maximum density is residing at the center, as seen in Fig. 1. For systems with a shifted maximum density the current can take negative values in the interior region, and very small values at the center. The magnetic field lines of these systems then are much more akin to those of ordinary neutron stars, with only little magnetic flux penetrating the throat.

(iii) A linear stability analysis of the mixed systems revealed their instability, independently of the location of the maximum of the neutron fluid density. This pervasive instability is in contrast to ordinary neutron stars, which always possess a stable branch, also in the case of anisotropic neutron fluids [13]. Clearly, the instability of the wormhole is inherited by the mixed neutron-star-plus-wormhole systems, and the various properties of the neutron matter cannot overturn this instability.

Thus the studies showed that the structure of the interior magnetic field may depend substantially not only on the form of the electric currents in the interior and the physical properties of the neutron matter (given by the choice of the equation of state, as demonstrated, for example, in Refs. [4, 10]) but also on the topology of the spacetime. On the other hand, the parameters of the mixed neutron-star-plus-wormhole systems can be selected such that from the point of view of a distant observer there will be no substantial external differences between ordinary magnetized neutron stars and mixed systems with nontrivial topology.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge support provided by the Volkswagen Foundation and by the DFG Research Training Group 1620 “Models of Gravity”. This work was partially supported by a grant within FP7, Marie Curie Actions, People, International Research Staff Exchange Scheme (IRSES-606096) and by a grant in fundamental research in natural sciences of the Ministry of Education and Science of Kazakhstan.

[1] R. C. Duncan and C. Thompson, Astrophys. J. **392**, L9 (1992).
[2] L. Mestel, *Stellar magnetism* (Oxford University Press, 2012).
[3] Y. Tomimura and Y. Eriguchi, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **359**, 1117 (2005); S. K. Lander and D. I. Jones, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **395**, 2162 (2009); P. D. Lasky, B. Zink, K. D. Kokkotas, and K. Glampedakis, Astrophys. J. **735**, L20 (2011) [arXiv:1105.1895 [astro-ph.SR]]; K. Glampedakis, N. Andersson, and S. K. Lander, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **420**, 1263 (2012) [arXiv:1106.6330 [astro-ph.SR]]; R. Ciolfi and L. Rezzolla, Astrophys. J. **760**, 1 (2012) [arXiv:1206.6604 [astro-ph.SR]].
[4] K. Kiuchi and K. Kotake, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **385**, 1327 (2008) [arXiv:0708.3597 [astro-ph]].
[5] V. Dzhunushaliev, V. Folomeev, B. Kleihaus, and J. Kunz, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **04** (2011) 031 [arXiv:1102.4454 [astro-ph.GA]].
[6] V. Dzhunushaliev, V. Folomeev, B. Kleihaus, and J. Kunz, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 124028 (2012) [arXiv:1203.3615 [gr-qc]].
[7] V. Dzhunushaliev, V. Folomeev, B. Kleihaus, and J. Kunz, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 104036 (2013) [arXiv:1302.5217 [gr-qc]].
[8] V. Dzhunushaliev, V. Folomeev, B. Kleihaus, and J. Kunz, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 084018 (2014) [arXiv:1401.7093 [gr-qc]].
[9] K. Konno, T. Obata and Y. Kojima, Astron. Astrophys. **352**, 211 (1999) [gr-qc/9910038].
[10] M. Bocquet, S. Bonazzola, E. Gourgoulhon, and J. Novak, Astron. Astrophys. **301**, 757 (1995) [gr-qc/9503044].
[11] M. Ruderman, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **10**, 427 (1972); R. F. Sawyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **29**, 382 (1972); N. K. Glendenning, *Compact Stars: Nuclear Physics, Particle Physics and General Relativity* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997); R. L. Bowers and E. P. T. Liang, Ap. J. **188**, 657 (1974); L. Herrera and N. O. Santos, Phys. Rep. **286**, 53 (1997); S. S. Bayin, Phys. Rev. D **26**, 1262 (1982); M. K. Mak and T. Harko, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **459**, 393 (2003) [gr-qc/0110103].
[12] H. Heintzmann and W. Hillebrandt, Astron. Astrophys. **38**, 51 (1975).
[13] W. Hillebrandt and K. O. Steinmetz, Astron. Astrophys. **53**, 283 (1976).

[14] J.R. Oppenheimer and G.M. Volkoff, Phys. Rev. **55**, 374 (1939); A.G.W. Cameron, Astrophys. J. **130**, 884 (1959); J. Diaz-Alonso and J.M. Ibañez-Cabanell, Astrophys. J. **291**, 308 (1985); P. Haensel and A. Y. Potekhin, Astron. Astrophys. **428**, 191 (2004) [astro-ph/0408324].
[15] M. Salgado, S. Bonazzola, E. Gourgoulhon, and P. Haensel, Astron. Astrophys. **291**, 155 (1994).
[16] H. Sotani, K. D. Kokkotas, and N. Stergioulas, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **375**, 261 (2007) [astro-ph/0608626].
[17] T. Regge, J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1063 (1957).
[18] Ya. B. Zel'dovich and I. D. Novikov, *Stars and relativity* (Dover, New York, 1996).
[19] J. A. Gonzalez, F. S. Guzman, and O. Sarbach, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 015010 (2009).
[20] K. A. Bronnikov, J. C. Fabris, and A. Zhidenko, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1791 (2011).